CSC2457 3D & Geometric Deep Learning

Fast end-to-end learning on protein surfaces

Freyr Sverrisson, Jean Feydy, Bruno E. Correia, Michael M. Bronstein

Date: March 30, 2021

Presenter: Youheng Ge

Instructor: Animesh Garg



Motivation and Main Problem



Structural Biology

- Analysis interacting surfaces
- Identify binding site
- Help to develop new drug therapies

Contributions

Prior work: Molecular Surface Interaction Fingerprinting (MaSIF)

- Reliance on precomputed meshes and handcrafted features
- Significant computational time and memory requirements

This work: Differentiable Molecular Surface Interaction Fingerprinting (dMaSIF)

- Free of any precomputed features
- Computations are performed on the fly, with a small memory footprint

Problem Setting

Interaction prediction: Take as inputs two surface patches and predict if these locations are likely to come into close contact in the protein complex.

Binding site identification: Classify the surface of a given protein into interaction sites and non-interaction sites.



Input Data Notation

- Cloud of atoms $\ \left\{ \mathbf{a}_{1},\ldots,\mathbf{a}_{A}
 ight\} \subset\mathbb{R}^{3}$
- Chemical types $\ \ \{ \mathbf{t}_1, \dots, \mathbf{t}_A \} \subset \mathbb{R}^6$

Sample Surface Points and Normals



Smooth Distance Function

$$SDF(\mathbf{x}) = -\sigma(\mathbf{x}) \cdot \log \sum_{k=1}^{A} \exp(-\|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{a}_k\| / \sigma_k)$$
$$\sigma(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{k=1}^{A} \exp(-\|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{a}_k\|) \sigma_k / \sum_{k=1}^{A} \exp(-\|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{a}_k\|)$$

Associate an atomic radius σ_k to each atom

Sample Surface Points and Normals



Compute Chemical Features

- For each point x_i , find 16 nearest atom centers.
- Apply a MLP to the 16 vectors:

 $[\mathbf{t}_k^i, 1/\|\mathbf{x}_i - \mathbf{a}_k^i\|]$

- Sum over the output vectors and apply a second MLP to the result.
- Concatenate these 6D chemical features to the 5+5 mean and Gaussian curvatures to create a full feature vector of size 16.

Apply Quasi-Geodesic Convolution

Geodesic distance

$$\mathbf{d}_{ij} = \|\mathbf{x}_i - \mathbf{x}_j\| \cdot (2 - \langle \hat{\mathbf{n}}_i, \hat{\mathbf{n}}_j \rangle)$$

Smooth Gaussian window

$$w(\mathbf{d}_{ij}) = \exp(-\mathbf{d}_{ij}^2 / 2\sigma^2)$$



Apply Quasi-Geodesic Convolution

Geodesic convolution

$$\mathbf{f}'_i \leftarrow \sum_{j=1}^{N} w(\mathbf{d}_{ij}) \operatorname{MLP}(\mathbf{p}_{ij}) \mathbf{f}_j$$

$$\mathbf{p}_{ij} = \begin{bmatrix} (\mathbf{x}_j - \mathbf{x}_i)^\top \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} \hat{\mathbf{n}}_i & | \hat{\mathbf{u}}_i & | \hat{\mathbf{v}}_i \end{bmatrix}$$
$$\mathbf{q}_{ij} = \begin{bmatrix} (\hat{\mathbf{n}}_j - \hat{\mathbf{n}}_i)^\top \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} \hat{\mathbf{n}}_i & | \hat{\mathbf{u}}_i & | \hat{\mathbf{v}}_i \end{bmatrix}$$
$$Conv(\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{x}_j, \mathbf{f}_j)$$
$$= Window(\mathbf{d}_{ij}) \cdot Filter(\mathbf{p}_{ij}, \mathbf{q}_{ij}) \cdot \mathbf{f}_j$$
$$\hat{\mathbf{n}}_i \quad \hat{\mathbf{v}}_i \quad \hat{\mathbf{u}}_i$$
$$\mathbf{x}_i \quad \mathbf{p}_{ij} \quad \mathbf{x}_j$$



Binding Site Identification:

- Apply an MLP to the output of the convolutions to produce the final site/non-site binary output.

Interaction Prediction:

- Compute dot products between the feature vectors of both proteins.
- Use the products as interaction scores between pairs of points.

Precomputation time

Computation	MaSIF	Ours
Surface generation	6.11±6.18 s	59.0±15.2 ms*
Input features	19.69±16.08 s	6.59±1.22 ms*
Local coordinates	50.65±45.15 s	0.46±0.09 ms*







1) Ours 1L 5A 2) Ours 1L 9A 3) Ours 1L 15A 4) Ours 3L 5A 5) Ours 3L 9A 6) Ours 3L 15A 7) PN++ 1L 5A 8) PN++ 1L 9A 9) PN++ 3L 5A 10) PN++ 3L 9A 11) DGCNN 1L K40 12) DGCNN 1L K100 13) DGCNN 3L K40 14) MaSIF 3L 9A



• 1) Ours 1L 5A

- 2) Ours 1L 9A
 3) Ours 1L 15A
- 4) Ours 3L 5A
- 5) Ours 3L 9A
- J) Ours SE 9P
- 6) Ours 3L 15A
- 7) PN++ 1L 5A
- 8) PN++ 1L 9A
- 9) PN++ 3L 5A
- 10) PN++ 3L 9A
- 11) DGCNN 1L K40
- 12) DGCNN 1L K100
- 13) DGCNN 3L K40
- 14) MaSIF 3L 9A

Memory usage per protein [MB] (log)

Limitations

- The concatenation of geometric curvatures to the vector of learned chemical features does not significantly improve the performance.
- Ignores the atoms inside the proteins, which may cause the loss of binding information.

Thanks for listening!